Registration of Sorghum Varieties ICSV 735, ICSV 758, and ICSV 808 Resistant to Sorghum Midge, *Stenodiplosis* sorghicola

HC Sharma^{1,*}, BL Agrawal¹, CV Abraham¹, JW Stenhouse¹ and Aung Toe² (1. ICRISAT, Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India; 2. Agricultural Research Institute, Yezin, Myanmar) *Corresponding author: h.sharma@cgiar.org

Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] is one of the most important cereals in the semi arid tropics (SAT). It provides food, feed and forage, but grain yields on peasant farms are generally low, partly due to insect pest damage. Nearly 150 species of insects have been recorded as pests of sorghum, of which sorghum midge [Stenodiplosis sorghicola (Coquillett)] is the most important worldwide (Harris 1976). As a result of feeding by the sorghum midge larvae on the developing ovary, the damaged spikelets become chaffy. Midge damage is sometimes confused with poor seed setting due to unfavorable weather, genetic sterility, and damage by head bugs and other insects (Sharma 2001). The midgedamaged panicles have pupal cases attached to the tip of the damaged spikelets, and often have a pinhole in the glumes, through which midge parasites have emerged. Sorghum midge is widely distributed in Asia, Australia, Americas, Mediterranean Europe, and Africa (CIE 1990). It has spread as diapausing larvae in chaffy spikelets in sorghum seed to most of the countries where sorghum is grown. Annual losses due to sorghum midge have been estimated to be \$ 292 million in the SAT (ICRISAT 1992).

Early planting, cultural practices, natural enemies, resistant varieties, and insecticides have been recommended for pest management in sorghum. However, it is difficult to plant at times when insect damage can be avoided.

Insecticides are costly, and beyond the reach of resourcepoor farmers in the SAT. Therefore, it is important to develop cultivars with resistance to sorghum midge which maintains high grain yield. Nearly 15,000 sorghum germplasm accessions have been screened for resistance to sorghum midge at the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, India, and 25 lines have been identified as resistant to sorghum midge across seasons and locations in India. The germplasm accessions IS 2579C, TAM 2566, AF 28, DJ 6514, IS 3461, IS 8918, IS 8891, IS 7005, IS 10712, IS 22881, and IS 27103 are stable and diverse sources of resist-ance to sorghum midge (Sharma et al. 1993, Henzell et al. 1997). Efforts to develop sorghum cultivars with resistance to sorghum midge were initiated in the USA under the sorghum conversion program (Johnson et al. 1973), at ICRISAT (Sharma et al. 1993), and in Australia (Henzell et al. 1997), and several lines with high levels of resistance to sorghum midge have been developed. The midge-resistant varieties ICSV 735, ICSV 758, and ICSV 804 developed at ICRISAT have been found to perform well across locations in Myanmar, and have been released.

The sorghum midge-resistant varieties ICSV 735, ICSV 758, and ICSV 804 have been released as Yezin 6, Yezin 7, and Yezin 5, respectively in Myanmar. These varieties combine resistance to sorghum midge with yield potential close to the commercial cultivars Yezin 1 and Yezin 3. ICSV 735 (PM 14355-2-6) is derived from (ICSV 197 x ICSV 1)-9-1-1-2-6, ICSV 758 (PM 14403-1-1) from (ICSV 197 x A 13108)-1-2-1-1-1, and ICSV 804 (PM 14350) from (ICSV 197 x ICSV 1)-3-1-1-1. These varieties have been developed through pedigree breeding, and the segregating material has been selected for resistance to sorghum midge under field and nochoice headcage screening (Sharma et al. 1992). The grain yield of ICSV 735, ICSV 758, and ICSV 804 was 1.489, 1.949, and 1.721 t ha⁻¹, respectively compared to 0.622 t ha⁻¹ for the local check in 1993/94 rainy season (Table 1). Under fertilizer application, grain yields of

Table 1. Grain and fodder yield of midge-resistant sorghum genotypes fertilized with farmyard manure across three locations (Yezin Elite Sorghum Variety Trial 1993-94, Myanmar).

		Grain yie	ld (t ha ⁻¹)			Fodder yield (t ha ⁻¹)		
Variety	Myingyan	Mahlaing	Zaloke	Mean	Myingyan	Mahlaing	Zaloke	Mean
ICSV 735	1.417	2.421	0.628	1.489	8.7	8.4	0.4	5.8
ICSV 758	1.309	3.533	1.004	1.947	3.4	6.9	0.3	3.6
ICSV 804	1.130	3.371	0.663	1.721	4.5	8.3	0.4	4.4
Control								
Local variety SE	0.502 ±0.1797	1.094 ±0.3293	2.659 ± 0.1612	0.622 ±0.1726	6.2 ±0.60	12.3 ± 0.60	1.5 ± 0.10	6.7 ± 0.40

Table 2. Morphological characteristics of sorghum midge-resistant genotypes ICSV 73	55, ICSV 758, and ICSV 804.
---	-----------------------------

Plant character	ICSV 735	ICSV 758	ICSV 804
Plant color	Tan	Tan	Tan
Leaf mid-rib color	White	White	White
Inflorescence compactness	Compact and elliptical	Semi-compact and broad at the tip	Semi-compact and broad at the tip
Glume color	Straw	Straw	Straw
Glume covering	$1/3^{rd}$	1/3 rd	$1/3^{rd}$
Awns	Awnless	Awnless	Awnless
Grain color	Pearly white	Pearly white	Pearly white
Grain shape	Globular	Flat	Round
Endosperm	White and corneous	White and corneous	White and corneous
Threshability	Easy	Easy	Easy
Boot leaf	Small and erect	Long and erect	Small and erect
Leaves	Broad and erect	Broad and semi-drooping	Narrow and erect
Leaf sheath	Covering half of the next node	Covering the internode	Covering the internode
1000 grain mass (g)	19.17	28.04	25.30

ICSV 735, ICSV 758, and ICSV 804 was 2.878, 3.389, and 3.416 t ha⁻¹ compared to 1.910 t ha⁻¹ for the local check. At ICRISAT Center, these varieties yielded 4.65 to 7.65 t per ha during the 1997 rainy season. The plant height of ICSV 735, ICSV 758, and ICSV 804 is 196, 236, 271 cm, respectively (Table 2). Days to 50% flowering ranged from 79–84 days for ICSV 735, 79–82 days for ICSV 758, and 78–84 days for ICSV 804 (Table 3). These lines are relatively less susceptible to leaf diseases than ICSV 1.

These lines are comparable to the resistant checks, DJ 6514 and ICSV 197 in midge resistance (Table 4). These are also less susceptible to the aphids, but as susceptible to shoot fly, head bugs, and stem borer as the commercial cultivars, ICSV 1 or CSH 9. Grains of ICSV 735, ICSV 758, and ICSV 804 are creamy white, shining, and with corneous endosperm. Grain mass per 1000 grain is 19.2 g for ICSV 735, 28.0 for ICSV 758, and 25.3 g for ICSV 804. Grain and food quality of these lines is comparable to commercial cultivars (CSH 9 and ICSV 1). These lines can be grown in midge-endemic areas as dual-purpose varieties, and have been released in Myanmar for this purpose. They can also be used as a base material for sorghum midge and leaf disease resistance in sorghum improvement. These lines have been used in the breeding program in Myanmar. ICSV 735 has also been distributed widely to farmers in Andhra Pradesh as a dual-purpose variety through the Indo-Swiss livestock project.

Significant progress has been made in developing sorghum cultivars with resistance to sorghum midge. There is a need to transfer midge resistance into cultivars with adaptation to different agro-ecosystems. Sorghum midge-resistant varieties exercise a constant and cumulative effect on insect populations over time and space.

Sorghum midge-resistance will form the backbone of pest management in sorghum for sustainable crop production and environment conservation.

These varieties have been released as ICSV 735, ICSV 758, and ICSV 804 by the Plant Material Release Committee of ICRISAT, and their seed is available in the Genebank at ICRISAT.

Acknowledgments. We thank the staff of breeding and entomology for their help in developing these lines.

References

CIE. 1990. Distribution Maps of Pests. Map No. 72, December 1990. *Contarinia sorghicola* (Coquillett) (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae), sorghum midge, London, UK: Commonwealth Institute of Entomology.

Harris KM. 1976. The sorghum midge. Annals of Applied Biology 64:114–118.

Henzell RG, Peterson GC, Teetes GL, Franzmann BA, Sharma HC, Youm O, Ratnadass A, Toure A, Raab J and Ajayi O. 1997. Breeding for resistance to panicle pests of sorghum and pearl millet. Pages 255–280 *in* Proceedings of the International Conference on Genetic Improvement of Sorghum and Pearl Millet, 23–27 Sep 1996. Lubbock, Texas, USA: Texas A&M University.

ICRISAT. 1992. The Medium Term Plan. Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (limited distribution).

Johnson JW, Rosenow DT and **Teetes GL.** 1973. Resistance to the sorghum midge in converted exotic sorghum cultivars. Crop Science 13:754–755.

	W	Midge damage (%)		Peduncle damage by stem borer (%)	Grain yield per plant (g)	Da	Days to 50% flowering	
Genotype	Rahuri 1997	Rahuri 1997 Dharwad 1995	Mean	Akola 1995	Dharwad 1995	Parbhani 1996	Parbhani 1997	Mean
ICSV 735	13.0	20.4	17.0	8.69	37.3	79.0	84.0	84.0
ICSV 758	13.7	36.3	25.0	55.4	22.7	79.0	82.0	82.0
ICSV 804	13.8	17.5	15.6	53.1	18.3	78.0	84.0	84.0
Controls								
DJ 6514(R)	4.1	10.3	7.2	61.3	35.7	74.0	83.0	83.0
CSH 9 (S)	I	I	I	58.1	61.3	ı	I	I
ICSV 112 (S)	26.6	53.0	39.8	I	61.7	78.0	80.0	80.0
LSD at 5%	4.1	5.5		16.8	7.4	3.0	4.0	1

Table 4. Sorghum midge damage and agronomic expression of six sorghum lines (ICRISAT Center, 1995 rainy season).

	N	Aidge damage rating	,1		Agronomic scor	·e²
Genotype	S 1	S 2	Mean	S 1	S 2	Mean
ICSV 758	2.5	4.0	3.3	2.0	2.5	2.3
ICSV 804	3.0	3.5	3.3	2.5	2.5	2.5
ICSV 735	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Controls						
DJ 6514 (R)	3.5	2.5	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
ICSV 197 (R)	3.5	2.5	3.0	2.5	1.5	2.0
Swarna (S)	8.5	9.0	8.8	1.0	1.5	1.3
SE±	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3
CV%	28.7	34.1	22.3	23.2	23.3	17.0

^{1.} Damage rating (1 = <10% midge damage, and 9 = >80% midge damage).

Sharma HC. 2001. Host plant resistance to sorghum midge, *Stenodiplosis sorghicola* (Coquillett): A sustainable approach for integrated pest management and environment conservation. Journal of Eco-physiology and Occupational Health 1:1–34.

Sharma HC, Agrawal BL, Vidyasagar P, Abraham, CV and **Nwanze KF.** 1993. Identification and utilization of resistance to sorghum midge, *Contarinia sorghicola* (Coquillett), in India. Crop Protection 12:343–350.

Sharma HC, Taneja, SL, Leuschner K and **Nwanze KF** 1992. Techniques to Screen Sorghums for Resistance to Insect Pests. Information Bulletin No. 32. Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. 48 pp.

^{2.} Agronomic score (1 = Good, and 5 = Poor).

S 1 and S 2 = First and second sowing, respectively.

R = Resistant. S = Susceptible.