

Grain Mold Resistance in Advanced Sorghum B-lines

P Sanjana Reddy, VP Rao, Belum VS Reddy*, S Ramesh and RP Thakur (ICRISAT, Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India)

*Corresponding author: b.reddy@cgiar.org

Introduction

Grain mold, caused by a complex of pathogenic and saprophytic fungi, is a highly destructive disease of sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] and is widely distributed in the semi-arid tropics of Africa and India. Annual global losses due to grain mold have been estimated at US\$ 130 million (ICRISAT 1992). Improved cultivars, particularly hybrids bred for early to medium maturity to escape terminal drought stress in India are normally more vulnerable to the disease than late maturing cultivars (Bandyopadhyay et al. 1988). Major efforts in breeding cytoplasmic-nuclear male sterility-based sorghum seed parents (A/B-lines) for grain mold resistance at the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, India, and other locations in India as well as in the US have met with partial success (Reddy et al. 2000). Therefore, efforts were made to improve sorghum B-lines for grain mold resistance through a specific breeding approach and the outputs of these efforts, along with future perspectives of breeding for grain mold resistance, are reported here.

Materials and Methods

The material for the study consisted of 28 promising grain mold resistant B-lines developed at ICRISAT, Patancheru, during the past six years using pedigree selection among segregating progenies derived from crosses between grain mold resistant lines and high-yielding bold grain B-lines. These were evaluated along with three controls (IS 14384 as grain mold resistant, and Bulk Y and 296B as grain mold susceptible) during the 2003 rainy season in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with 2 replications. Sprinkler irrigation was provided for 30 min per day on dry days during flowering to physiological maturity of sorghum to create high humidity (>90% relative humidity) congenial to the development of adequate and uniform disease pressure. Ten panicles of uniformly flowering plants were tagged in each replication for recording grain mold infection at physiological maturity (PM) and on threshed grain (TG)

using the 1-9 progressive scale where 1=no mold, 2=1-5%, 3=6-10%, 4=11-20%, 5=21-30%, 6=31-40%, 7=41-50%, 8=51-75% and 9>75% mold. Grain (20 g from each panicle) threshed from all the panicles were pooled and mold infection was recorded as TGMR using the same 1-9 scale.

A separate trial was conducted with the same set of entries during the 2003 rainy season at ICRISAT, Patancheru in RCBD for agronomic evaluation. The observations were recorded on 10 randomly selected plants in the middle two rows for growth and yield traits; days to 50% flowering (DTF), plant height, grain yield, panicle type (compact, semi-compact and loose), grain mass, glumes and grain color (white, red, and brown), glumes cover on grains, and grain hardness (at 7% grain moisture).

Results and Discussion

Significant differences were observed among the 28 lines for PGMR and TGMR scores, and DTF, plant height, grain hardness, grain mass, and grain yield (Table 1). None of the entries showed significantly higher levels of PGMR and TGMR than the resistant control IS 14384, which showed PGMR and TGMR scores of 1.2 and 1.3, respectively. Nevertheless, SGMR 33-1, SGMR 33-2, SGMR 40-1 and SGMR 40-2 had PGMR score less than 2.3 and were at par with the resistant check IS 14384, and produced significantly higher grain yield (2.31-2.69 t ha⁻¹). These B-lines, besides flowering early (<70 days), had semi-compact to compact panicles and red/brown grains with 25-75% coverage by red/brown glumes. These lines had 1.95–2.51 g 100⁻¹ grain mass and moderate grain hardness of 3.3–4.4 kg seed⁻¹ compared with 1.88 g 100⁻¹ grain mass and 2.3 kg⁻¹ grain hardness of 296 B, a susceptible control.

Relationship of PGMR and TGMR with grain and glumes traits. The results indicated that grain and glume color (red or brown) appeared to contribute to grain mold resistance (Table 2). The lines with brown grain and glumes showed higher grain mold resistance than those with red grain and glumes or white grain and glumes as evident from mean PGMR and TGMR scores (Table 2). The earlier reports on the contribution of grain color (Menkir et al. 1996) and glume color (Audilakshmi et al. 1999) to grain mold resistance in sorghum support the present findings. Three lines [SGMR 3-2 (PGMR: 4.0 and TGMR: 4.3) and SGMR 7-1 (PGMR: 4.4 and TGMR: 5.2) and SGMR 7-2 (PGMR: 4.9 and TGMR:

5.4)] in white grain background had moderate mold resistance levels, although they had pigmented (red) glumes. All white-grain B-lines with white glume color

were susceptible (Table 1). The glume cover on the grain also appeared to provide some protection to grains from mold infection. Two lines (SGMR 23-2 and SGMR 33-1)

Table 1. Performance of advance sorghum B-lines for grain mold resistance and agronomic traits during the 2003 rainy season, ICRISAT, Patancheru.

Designation	DTF ^a	Plant height (m) ^a	Grain mass (g 100 ⁻¹)	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)	PGMR ^b	TGMR ^b	Grain hardness ^c (kg seed ⁻¹)	Panicle type ^d	Glume color ^e	Glume cover ^e	Grain color ^e
SGMR 03-1	74	1.9	2.37	1.54	5.6	6.4	5.1	SC	W	50	W
SGMR 03-2	72	1.9	2.13	1.57	4.0	4.3	4.8	SC	R	25	W
SGMR 05-1	71	1.7	2.20	2.35	6.4	6.0	3.9	SC	R	50	W
SGMR 05-2	72	1.7	2.13	1.52	6.7	7.3	3.7	SC	R	25	W
SGMR 07-1	71	1.9	2.35	2.29	4.4	5.2	3.9	SC	R	25	W
SGMR 07-2	74	1.8	2.22	1.83	4.9	5.4	4.3	SC	R	25	W
SGMR 08-1	79	1.7	2.34	1.09	7.4	8.4	4.8	SC	W	50	W
SGMR 08-2	77	1.9	2.55	1.14	7.7	8.4	4.9	SC	W	50	W
SGMR 09-1	77	1.7	2.20	0.58	6.1	8.1	4.9	SC	W	50	W
SGMR 09-2	77	1.8	2.21	0.92	7.3	8.2	5.9	SC	W	25	W
SGMR 10-1	75	1.9	1.99	1.56	5.1	4.9	4.6	L	W	50	R
SGMR 10-2	74	2.0	1.81	1.19	6.0	5.9	3.5	SC	R	50	R
SGMR 11-1	74	1.9	2.13	1.14	3.6	3.8	5.0	SC	R	50	R
SGMR 11-2	77	1.8	2.01	0.98	4.6	4.2	5.1	SC	W	50	R
SGMR 12-1	71	2.0	2.46	1.82	4.4	5.1	3.9	SC	R	50	R
SGMR 12-2	70	2.0	2.51	2.68	4.3	5.4	4.0	SC	R	25	R
SGMR 21-1	78	1.7	1.97	0.73	3.4	3.6	5.4	SC	R	50	R
SGMR 21-2	81	1.7	2.12	0.50	5.3	5.5	3.8	SC	W	50	R
SGMR 21-3	81	1.6	1.57	0.29	5.5	6.1	3.9	SC	R	50	R
SGMR 21-4	78	1.7	1.88	0.77	3.2	2.8	5.6	SC	B	50	B
SGMR 23-1	79	1.9	1.51	0.74	5.4	6.1	1.7	SC	R	50	B
SGMR 23-2	77	1.9	1.60	1.39	3.8	4.4	1.9	SC	R	75	R
SGMR 24-1	70	1.7	2.34	2.25	4.3	5.1	4.0	SC	W	50	B
SGMR 24-2	71	1.7	2.26	1.78	6.8	7.5	3.0	SC	W	50	B
SGMR 33-1	70	1.9	1.95	2.62	1.7	2.3	3.8	C	R	75	B
SGMR 33-2	70	2.0	2.01	2.69	1.8	2.2	3.3	C	R	50	B
SGMR 40-1	62	1.9	2.51	2.50	1.7	3.2	4.4	SC	B	25	B
SGMR 40-2	62	1.6	2.41	2.31	2.3	4.5	4.4	SC	B	25	B
IS 14384 (C)	71	2.8	1.99	3.63	1.2	1.3	8.3	L	B	25	R
Bulk Y (C)	56	1.3	3.63	2.09	8.2	9.0	7.6	L	B	25	W
296 B (C)	73	1.1	1.88	1.57	8.4	8.7	2.3	C	W	50	W
Mean	73	1.8	2.17	1.58	4.9	5.5	4.4	-	-	45	-
MSS	92.83**	0.22**	0.44**	1.96**	75.63**	81.72**	3.73**	-	-	-	-
LSD(<i>P</i> =0.05)	2.49	0.19	0.31	0.32	1.1	0.9	1.5	-	-	-	-

^aMean of three replications. DTF=Days to 50% flowering

^bPGMR=Grain mold reaction at grain physiological maturity and TGMR=Grain mold reaction on threshed grain. Mean of 2 replications, 10 panicles/rep., based on 1-9 scale where 1=No mold, 2=1-5%, 3=6-10%, 4=11-20%, 5=21-30%, 6=31-40%, 7=41-50%, 8=51-75% and 9>75% mold infection

^cMean of two replications, 25 grains/rep

^dC=Compact; SC=Semicompact; L=Loose

^eW=White; R=Red; B=Brown

**Significant at *P*<0.01

Table 2. Influence of grain and glumes colors on grain mold reactions in advanced sorghum B-lines.

Moldreaction	Grain color			Glume color			Glume coverage (%)		
	White (10)	Red (10)	Brown (8)	White (10)	Red (15)	Brown (3)	25 (8)	50 (18)	75 (2)
PGMR	6.1	4.6	3.4	6.0	4.4	2.4	4.4	5.1	2.8
TGMR	6.8	4.9	4.2	6.7	4.9	3.5	5.4	5.6	3.2

Figures in parentheses represent the number of B-lines.

PGMR=Grain mold reaction at grain physiological maturity and TGMR=Grain mold reaction on threshed grain.

with more than 75% glume coverage showed much higher grain mold resistance than those with 25 and 50% glume coverage (Table 2). However, no definite relationship was observed between the extent of glume coverage and mold resistance levels. For example, lines, such as SGMR 05-1, SGMR 08-1, SGMR 08-2, SGMR 09-1 and SGMR 24-2 with 50% glume coverage were more susceptible (PGMR and TGMR scores >6.0), than SGMR 40-1 and SGMR 40-2 with 25% glume coverage (PGMR < 2.0 and TGMR < 4.5) (Table 1). The higher mold resistance levels in some of these lines with less glume coverage is encouraging considering farmers' preferences for cultivars with less glume coverage, which reduces postharvest processing cost.

A significant positive correlation between PGMR and early flowering and significant negative correlation between PGMR and TGMR scores with grain yield implied that it is necessary to maintain a balance between maturity, mold resistance levels, and grain yield. A weak correlation between mold resistance levels and grain mass and grain hardness was observed, which is contrary to earlier reports of significant negative correlation between mold resistance and grain mass (Reddy et al. 2000) and positive correlations between mold resistance and grain hardness (Audilakshmi et al. 1999). These results indicate better prospects for developing mold resistant B-lines without compromising grain size (one of the important traits preferred by farmers). Concerted breeding efforts involving diverse sources of mold resistance and high-yielding bold grain lines are essential for the development of B-lines with enhanced mold resistance levels under desirable agronomic background.

References

- Audilakshmi S, Stenhouse JW, Reddy TP and Prasad MVR.** 1999. Grain mold resistance and associated characters of sorghum genotypes. *Euphytica* 107:91–103.
- Bandyopadhyay R, Mughogho LK and Prasada Rao KE.** 1988. Sources of resistance to sorghum grain mold. *Plant Disease* 72:504–508.
- ICRISAT.** 1992. Medium term plan 1994–98. Research theme datasets. Volume 3. Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. 229 pp.
- Menkir A, Ejeta G, Butler L and Melakeberhan A.** 1996. Physical and chemical kernel properties associated with resistance to grain mold in sorghum. *Cereal Chemistry* 73: 613–617.
- Reddy BVS, Bandyopadhyay R, Ramaiah B and Ortiz R.** 2000. Breeding grain mold resistant sorghum cultivars. Pages 195-224 in *Technical and institutional options for sorghum grain mold management: Proceedings of an international consultation, 18-19 May 2000, ICRISAT, Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.*