

Agronomic Characteristics of Different Cytoplasmic Male-Sterility Systems and their Reaction to Sorghum Shoot Fly, *Atherigona soccata*

MK Dhillon, HC Sharma* and Belum VS Reddy
(ICRISAT, Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India)

*Corresponding author: h.sharma@cgiar.org

Introduction

The discovery of cytoplasmic male-sterility (*milo* cytoplasm) led to commercial exploitation of hybrid vigor in sorghum (Stephens and Holland 1954). Several CMS systems have been identified in sorghum for diversifying hybrid production. However, only the A₁ CMS system has been deployed for producing sorghum hybrids worldwide, with the exception of A₂ CMS-based hybrids in China (Shan et al. 2000). The use of a single source of male-sterility (A₁ cytoplasm) has narrowed the genetic base of sorghum hybrids. As a result, there is considerable risk of insect pest and disease outbreaks in cultivars based on a single source of male-sterility (Sharma et al. 2004).

Sorghum is damaged by over 150 species of insect pests, of which shoot fly *Atherigona soccata* (Rondani) is important in Asia, Africa, and Mediterranean Europe. Plant resistance is an important component for the management of this pest, and efforts are being made at ICRISAT to transfer resistance genes into male-sterile lines. Since there is considerable risk of single MS system-based hybrids becoming vulnerable to this major pest, it is important to determine the agronomic desirability and the reaction of different CMS systems to sorghum shoot fly, *A. soccata*.

Materials and Methods

Plant material. The experimental material consisted of six isonuclear lines in six cytoplasmic backgrounds (A₁, A₂, A₃, A₄G₁, A₄M, and A₄V_zM), and six maintainer (B) lines. The test material was evaluated during the 2002 and 2003 rainy, and 2003 postrainy seasons. Each entry was planted in 4 row plots of 2 m row length, and the rows were 75 cm apart. There were three replications in a randomized complete block design. One week after seedling emergence, thinning was done to maintain a spacing of 10 cm between plants. Normal agronomic practices were followed for raising the crop. At the milk stage, the panicles were covered with nylon bags to avoid damage from birds.

Observations. Data were recorded on numbers of plants with shoot fly deadhearts in the central two rows at 14 days after seedling emergence, and expressed as percentage of plants with deadhearts. Data were also recorded on days to 50% flowering, plant height, and agronomic desirability. Plant height was recorded at maturity. Agronomic desirability was evaluated at crop maturity on a scale of 1 to 5 (1 = good productive potential and ability to withstand insect damage, 5 = poor productive potential and prone to insect damage). The data was analyzed using factorial analysis. The significance of differences between the treatment means was tested using least significant differences (LSD) at P 0.05.

Results and Discussion

There were significant differences among the CMS lines for all the traits under study (Tables 1 to 4). The mean squares due to genotype x CMS systems for plant height, agronomic desirability and shoot fly infestation were nonsignificant (Tables 2, 3, and 4). The isonuclear lines in A₁, A₂, and A₃ cytoplasmic backgrounds flowered 1–2 days earlier than in other CMS backgrounds. Similar results have earlier been reported by Quinby (1970). The A₄G₁ and A₄V_zM cytoplasmic backgrounds flowered one-day later than the B-lines. These results are in conformity with those of Nagur and Menon (1974). The isonuclear lines in A₂ cytoplasmic background (except in case of ICSA 26 and ICSA 38) were shorter than in other cytoplasmic backgrounds, but the differences among the CMS systems were nonsignificant (Table 2). Similar observations have been reported by Williams-Alanis and Rodriguez-Herrera (1994). Pederson and Toy (1997) observed similar pattern for plant height in A₁, A₂, and A₃ cytoplasmic backgrounds. The differences in agronomic score of different CMS systems were nonsignificant (Table 3). Ross and Kofoed (1979) reported comparable agronomic performance and grain yield in different CMS systems. However, Gangakishan and Borikar (1989) and Wang et al. (1990) observed that the hybrids based on *Maldandi* (A₄M) cytoplasm are bold and yield better than those on *milo* cytoplasm. Shoot fly deadhearts in different CMS systems varied from 69.9 to 88.7% (Table 4). The male sterile lines showed more deadhearts [77.1 (A₄M) to 81.0% (A₄G₁)] compared to the maintainer lines (74.4%) (Table 4). Among the cytoplasmic backgrounds tested, A₄M suffered lower deadheart incidence than the other CMS systems. Therefore, it can be exploited for producing shoot fly-resistant hybrids in future (Dhillon et al. 2005).

Conclusion

Isogenic lines in A₁, A₂, and A₃ cytoplasmic backgrounds flowered two days earlier than the other CMS and maintainer lines. The male-sterile lines in A₄G₁ and A₄VzM CMS backgrounds flowered one day later than the maintainer lines. The A₁, A₂, A₃, and A₄VzM CMS lines were comparable in height, but shorter than A₄M and A₄G₁ CMS and B-lines. The differences in agronomic desirability of different CMS systems were nonsignificant. The A₄M (*Maldandi*) cytoplasm was less susceptible to sorghum shoot fly, *A. soccata*, and can be exploited for producing sorghum hybrids with less susceptibility to sorghum shoot fly.

References

- Dhillon MK, Sharma HC, Reddy BVS, Ram Singh, Naresh JS and Kai Z.** 2005. Relative susceptibility of different male-sterile cytoplasm in sorghum to shoot fly, *Atherigona soccata*. *Euphytica* (in press).
- Gangakishan A and Borikar ST.** 1989. Comparative performance of *Maldandi* V/S *Milo* cytoplasm in sorghum. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities* 14:192–195.
- Nagur T and Menon PM.** 1974. Characterization of different male-sterility inducing cytoplasm in sorghum. *Sorghum Newsletter* 17:18.

Table 1. Days to 50% flowering of different cytoplasmic male-sterile (A) and maintainer (B) lines of sorghum (ICRISAT, Patancheru, India).

Genotypes	Days to 50% flowering							Mean
	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄ G ₁	A ₄ M	A ₄ VzM	B	
ICSA 11	71.3	67.0	69.1	72.3	75.1	75.7	74.6	73.2
ICSA 17	71.3	73.0	73.3	71.7	69.7	73.6	70.7	71.4
ICSA 26	75.2	79.5	77.6	79.2	77.7	78.5	75.3	76.6
ICSA 38	72.7	77.2	68.6	76.6	75.6	76.6	80.6	77.6
ICSA 88001	74.7	76.0	73.6	77.7	79.6	76.7	76.9	76.6
ICSA 88004	78.7	75.7	77.6	80.6	78.1	79.0	76.0	77.1
Mean	74.0	74.7	73.3	76.3	75.9	76.7	75.7	
For comparing	SE±		LSD				F-test	
Cytoplasm (C)	0.63		0.83				0.002	
Genotypes (G)	0.58		0.89				<0.001	
C x G	1.54		2.18				0.004	

Genotypes (P = 0.05; df = 5); Cytoplasm (P = 0.05; df = 6); Cytoplasm x genotypes (P = 0.05; df = 30); Error (P = 0.05; df = 82).

Table 2. Plant height at maturity in different cytoplasmic male-sterile (A) and maintainer (B) lines of sorghum (ICRISAT, Patancheru, India).

Genotypes	Plant height (cm)							Mean
	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄ G ₁	A ₄ M	A ₄ VzM	B	
ICSA 11	101.1	98.6	99.2	105.8	102.8	98.9	100.5	100.8
ICSA 17	88.3	80.0	88.6	94.4	91.1	93.1	86.9	88.1
ICSA 26	102.2	111.7	108.1	99.4	103.1	103.9	110.0	107.4
ICSA 38	104.7	103.1	103.3	101.7	103.1	101.7	109.2	106.1
ICSA 88001	125.0	122.5	122.5	123.3	129.2	126.9	123.3	124.1
ICSA 88004	110.0	108.3	109.2	119.7	112.8	109.2	113.9	112.7
Mean	105.2	104.0	105.2	107.4	107.0	105.6	107.3	
For comparing	SE±		LSD				F-test	
Cytoplasm (C)	1.68		NS				0.737	
Genotypes (G)	1.56		4.34				<0.001	
C x G	4.12		NS				0.748	

Genotypes (P = 0.05; df = 5); Cytoplasm (P = 0.05; df = 6); Cytoplasm x genotypes (P = 0.05; df = 30); Error (P = 0.05; df = 205). NS = Nonsignificant.

Table 3. Agronomic desirability of different cytoplasmic male-sterile (A) and maintainer (B) lines of sorghum (ICRISAT, Patancheru, India).

Genotypes	Agronomic score ^a							Mean
	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄ G ₁	A ₄ M	A ₄ VzM	B	
ICSA 11	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.5	3.2	2.8	3.4	3.3
ICSA 17	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.2	3.5	3.2	3.5	3.4
ICSA 26	2.8	2.7	2.8	3.0	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8
ICSA 38	3.2	3.5	3.5	2.8	3.0	2.8	3.2	3.2
ICSA 88001	3.0	3.3	3.2	2.8	3.2	3.0	3.2	3.1
ICSA 88004	2.8	3.2	3.0	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.9
Mean	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.1	2.9	3.2	
For comparing	SE±		LSD				F-test	
Cytoplasm (C)	0.10		NS				0.253	
Genotypes (G)	0.10		0.26				<0.001	
C x G	0.24		NS				0.995	

Genotypes (P = 0.05; df = 5); Cytoplasm (P = 0.05; df = 6); Cytoplasm x genotypes (P = 0.05; df = 30); Error (P = 0.05; df = 205). ^a = Agronomic score (1 = good, and 5 = poor). NS = Nonsignificant.

Table 4. Evaluation of different CMS systems of sorghum for susceptibility to shoot fly, *Atherigona soccata* (ICRISAT, Patancheru, India).

Genotypes	Deadhearts (%) 14 DAE							Mean
	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄ G ₁	A ₄ M	A ₄ VzM	B-line	
ICSA 11	81.1	88.7	83.0	85.0	78.7	77.8	82.3	82.4
ICSA 17	84.0	73.9	74.0	81.0	77.1	78.3	80.7	79.4
ICSA 26	78.7	74.1	81.7	82.0	72.9	80.2	69.9	74.1
ICSA 38	78.8	84.7	81.2	81.1	81.7	81.2	71.9	76.7
ICSA 88001	78.2	78.1	79.1	76.4	75.4	81.2	70.6	74.4
ICSA 88004	77.1	74.0	77.4	80.7	76.9	78.1	71.1	74.2
Mean	79.6	78.9	79.4	81.0	77.1	79.5	74.4	
For comparing	SE±		LSD				F-test	
Cytoplasm (C)	1.33		3.71				0.016	
Genotypes (G)	1.23		3.43				0.005	
C x G	3.26		NS				0.314	

DAE = Days after seedling emergence. Genotypes (P = 0.05; df = 5); Cytoplasm (P = 0.05; df = 6); Cytoplasm x genotypes (P = 0.05; df = 30); Error (P = 0.05; df = 328). NS = Nonsignificant.

Pedersen JF and Toy JJ. 1997. Forage yield, quality, and fertility of sorghum x sudangrass hybrids in A₁ and A₃ cytoplasm. *Crop Science* 37:1973–1975.

Quinby JR. 1970. Effect of male-sterility inducing cytoplasm in sorghum hybrids. *Crop Science* 10:614.

Shan LQ, Ai PJ, Yiu LT and Yao ZF. 2000. New grain sorghum cytoplasmic male-sterile line A₂V₄A and F₁ hybrid Jinza No. 12 for Northwest China. *International Sorghum and Millets Newsletter* 41:31–32.

Sharma HC, Dhillon MK, Naresh JS, Ram Singh, Pampapathy G and Reddy BVS. 2004. Influence of cytoplasmic male-sterility on the expression of resistance to

insects in sorghum. Page 6 in *New Directions for a Diverse Planet. Proceedings, Fourth International Crop Science Congress, 25 Sept–2 Oct 2004, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia* (Fisher T, Turner N, Angus J, McIntyre L, Robertson M, Borrell A and Lloyd D, eds.). Australia: Brisbane, Queensland. <http://www.cropscience.org.au>.

Stephens JC and Holland RF. 1954. Cytoplasmic male sterility for sorghum seed production. *Agronomy Journal* 46:20–23.

Ross WM and Kofoid KD. 1979. Effect of non-*milo* cytoplasm on the agronomic performance of sorghum. *Crop Science* 19:267–270.

Wang FD, Zhang SP and Yang LG. 1990. Evaluation of A_2 male-sterile lines in sorghum. II. Combining ability analysis for main agronomic characters. *Acta Agronomica Sinica* 16:242–251.

Williams-Alanis H and Rodriguez-Herrera R. 1994. Comparative performance of sorghums in A_1 and A_2 cytoplasm. II. Yield and agronomic characteristics. *Cereal Research Communications* 22:301–307.